

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/815,061	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHAUDHURI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Khanh B. Pham	<b>Art Unit</b> 2166	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Khanh B. Pham, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Stephen Siu, Applicant's representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 April 2007
**Time:** 4pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 None

Claims discussed:  
 6-7, 9, 15, 23

Prior art documents discussed:  
 None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
The examiner suggested adding the limitation "wherein the database objects are database statements from a database workload" to independent claims 9, 15, 23 to further define and limit the scope of the claims, and to be consistent with claim 1. Claims 6-7 also need to be amended to correct antecedent basis. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's Amendment..